

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,092	10/19/2001	Heiji Kato	29385-68773 1054	
7	590 07/22/2003	•		•
Barnes & Tho			EXAMINER	
11 S. Meridian Indianapolis, Il			LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>.</u>			
Advisory Action	10/040,092	KATO ET AL.				
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	correspond nce address	S			
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a standard which the standard with the standard with the standard with the standard ment which the standard ment which the standard ment with the	cation. A proper reply chiplaces the application	to a on in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 136(a) and the appropriate ext	MPEP tension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2) a	as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	plifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ar	mendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were i	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examine	er.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	11 12				
10. Other:	p.	TILES	>			
	l	KUANG Y. LIN EXAMINER GROUP 328	126			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 110/040,092

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: there is no support in the specification for the claimed feature that the sweeper brush drive operable to rotate the sweeper brush in a direction OPPOSITE to the surface movement of the casting roll.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowanc because: (1) JP '393 and '394 ar not art of record. Also, the office did not receive the translation thereof as stated. (2) the scope of the claim does not include the stated features that two brushe are different character for performing different functions. Also, the prior art references perform the same function as claimed.